



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,204	03/01/2002	Avery Li-Chun Wang	1800/3	8574
27774	7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER	
·	ORTKORT & WILLI. AVENUE WEST	LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE		
2ND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD), NJ 07090		2171	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Comments	10/087,2	04	WANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	Г	Art Unit			
		Etienne P		2171			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eviunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition.	for allowance except	t for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims	-					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election i	requirement.				
Applicat	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		4				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim and all b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/04/2002</u> . 6		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Status:

Claims 1-18 are pending. Claims 1-18 are rejected in this first examination on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 8, 9, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 8 and 17 recite "wherein the media includes a file stored on a memory device of the user's personal computer." The skilled artisan would not know how to make and use the invention because the specification does not provide a clear and concise description of the manner and process of making a media file which is stored in the memory of a user's personal computer.

Claims 9 and 18 are rejected for being dependent from a rejected base claim.

Art Rejection Precluded:

Claims 8, 9, 17 and 18, as noted above, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. No art rejection is provided in this first action on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 6,061,680 issued to Scherf (hereafter Scherf).

Claims 1 and 10:

Scherf discloses:

- extracting a plurality of parameters from a media including a known media sample during a playing of the media by a user [number and length of tracks, col 5, lines 44-55];
- transmitting the plurality of parameters to a predetermined server [Fig 1 server] on a communication network [Fig 1 HTTP connection], which predetermined server is coupled to a recognition database [Fig 1, server database];
- storing the plurality of parameters in the recognition database along with an identity of the known media sample [col 6, lines 21-25];
- processing the plurality of parameters into a plurality of fingerprints/landmarks [unique identifier, col 5, lines 45-55] used in a recognition process.

Claims 2 and 11:

Scherf discloses sending, simultaneously with transmitting the plurality of parameters to the server, metadata [unique identifier, col 5, lines 45-55] used to identify the media sample to a second predetermined server; and forwarding a resulting identification to the server coupled to the recognition database.

Claims 3 and 12:

Scherf discloses returning the resulting identification to the user and then uploading the resulting identification with transmitting of the plurality of parameters [col 6, lines 45-50]

Claims 4 and 13:

Scherf discloses wherein the resulting identification is forwarded directly to the first predetermined server coupled to the recognition database [col 6, lines 33-38].

Claims 5 and 14:

Scherf discloses performing a check prior to extracting the plurality of parameters to determine whether the recognition database currently holds a latest version of the media sample before extracting the plurality of parameters [col 6, lines 11-20].

Claims 6 and 15:

Scherf discloses wherein the media includes a compact disk or digital video disk that is played on the user's personal computer [col 5, lines 44-47].

Claims 7 and 16:

Scherf discloses the media includes a streaming media sample being played on the user's personal computer [col 4, lines 35-38].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne LeRoux whose telephone number is (703) 305-0620. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Patent related correspondence can be forwarded via the following FAX number (703) 872-9306

Etienne LeRoux

September 27, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100